



**Local Division Düsseldorf**  
**UPC\_CFI\_459/2023**

**Procedural order**  
**of the Court of First Instance of the Unified Patent Court**  
**issued on 22 August 2025**  
**concerning EP 2 011 218 B1**

Applicant:

**Inventronics GmbH**, represented by the managing directors Dr Gernot Steinlesberger, Sabine Niggel and Fanning Marshall Miles, Parkring 31-33, 85748 Garching b. München, Germany

represented by: Patent Attorney Bernhard Ganahl, HDF Europe LLP, Neu-markter Straße 18, 81673 Munich, Germany

Claimant:

**Tridonic GmbH & Co KG**, Färbergasse 15, 6851 Dornbirn, Austria, represented by its managing directors Hugo Rohner, Alexander Stieger and Alexander Jankovsky, *ibid.*

represented by: Solicitor Dr Clemens Tobias Steins, Patent Attorney and European European Patent Attorney Dr Markus G. Müller, Hoffmann Eitle Patent- und Rechtsanwälte Partnergesellschaft mbB, Arabellastraße 30, 81925 Munich, Germany

electronic delivery address: CSteins@Hoffmanneitle.com\_

Defendant:

1. **CUPOWER Shenzhen Xiezheng Electronics Co., Ltd**, Floor 2, Building E, Taohuayuan Smart & Innovation Park, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, 518000 People's Republic of China,
2. **CUPOWER Europe GmbH**, Ahornweg 5a, 58675 Hemer, Germany, represented by its managing director Dirk Politowski, *ibid.*,

represented by: Solicitor Eva Geschke, Solicitor Jan-Caspar Maiers, Wildanger Kehrwald Graf. v. Schwerin & Partner mbB Solicitors, Couvenstraße 8, 40211 Düsseldorf

electronic delivery address: maiers@wildanger.eu

Contributor: Patent attorney Renate Weisse, Patentanwaltskanzlei Weisse, Bleibtreu-Straße 38, 10623 Berlin

PATENT AT ISSUE:

European Patent No. 2 011 218 B1

PANEL/CHAMBER:

Judicial panel of the Düsseldorf local division

PARTICIPATING JUDGES:

This order was issued by Presiding Judge Thomas on behalf of Judge Dr Thom as rapporteur.

LANGUAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS: German

SUBJECT: R. 262.1(b) RoP in conjunction with R. 295 VerfO – Application for suspension of proceedings

ORDER:

In light of ongoing settlement discussions in parallel proceedings, the proceedings for access to files are suspended by mutual agreement of all parties involved until an application for resumption is made by one of the parties or the applicant (R. 295 (d), R. 296.2 RoP).

The claimant's request for an extension of the deadline (App\_35304/2025) is therefore no longer relevant. The deadlines for comments in this regard are irrelevant.

DETAILS OF THE ORDER:

App\_35225/2025 concerning App\_33805/2025 on the main file reference ACT\_590302/2023, CC\_16360/2023, ACT 29716/2024

UPC number: UPC\_CFI\_459/2023

Type of proceedings: Infringement action; counterclaim for annulment

Issued in Düsseldorf on 22 August 2025 NAMES

AND SIGNATURES

Presiding Judge Thomas