

**Procedural Order
of the Court of First Instance of the Unified Patent Court
Local Division The Hague
delivered on 3/11/2025
concerning: order after interim conference (R. 105.5)**

Date of receipt of Statement of claim : 07/11/2024

**The Walt
Disney
Company
(Benelux) B.V.** Statement
of claim
served on
19/11/2024
(Defendant) -
Asterweg 15S -
1031 HL -
Amsterdam -
NL

**Disney
Interactive
Studios, Inc.** Statement
of claim
served on
05/12/2024
(Defendant) -
500 South
Buena Vista St,
- 91521 -
Burbank,
California - US

**The Walt
Disney
Company
Limited** Statement
of claim
served on
11/12/2024
(Defendant) -
3 Queen
Caroline Street
- W6 9PE -
Hammersmith,
London - GB

REFERENCE CODE ECLI: Not provided

CLAIMANT/S

- | | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1) | Adeia Guides Inc.
(Claimant) - 3025 Orchard Parkway - CA 95134
- San Jose - US | Represented by Prof. Dr.
Tilman Müller-Stoy |
|----|---|--|

DEFENDANT/S

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1) | The Walt Disney Company (Benelux) B.V.
(Defendant) - Asterweg 15S - 1031 HL -
Amsterdam - NL | Represented by Dietrich Burkhard
Kamlah Taylor Wessing PartGmbB |
| 2) | Disney Interactive Studios, Inc.
(Defendant) - 500 South Buena Vista St, -
91521 - Burbank, California - US | Represented by Dietrich Burkhard
Kamlah Taylor Wessing PartGmbB |
| 3) | The Walt Disney Company Limited
(Defendant) - 3 Queen Caroline Street - W6
9PE - Hammersmith, London - GB | Represented by Dietrich Burkhard
Kamlah Taylor Wessing PartGmbB |

PATENT AT ISSUE

<i>Patent no.</i>	<i>Proprietor/s</i>
EP1969839	Adeia Guides Inc.

DECIDING JUDGE

Presiding judge	Edger Brinkman
Judge-rapporteur	Edger Brinkman

LANGUAGE OF PROCEEDINGS: English

SUBJECT-MATTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Infringement claim and counterclaim for revocation

GROUND FOR THE ORDER

Today the interim conference was held. Online were present:

Claimant: Adeia Guides Inc.

Prof. Dr. Tilman Müller-Stoy, UPC Representative for Claimant

Dr. Georg Anetsberger, UPC Representative for Claimant

Dr. Marius Fischer, UPC Representative for Claimant

Julia Bernatska, UPC Representative for Claimant

Michael Schwartz, Senior Vice President, Litigation at Claimant

Efrain Staino, Vice President, Litigation at Claimant

Rowena Young, Vice President, IP Rights Management/OTT Lead at Claimant

Michael Stevenson, Senior Vice President, Media Strategy & Litigation – EMEA at Claimant

Defendant: The Walt Disney Company (Benelux) B.V. et al.

Dr. Dietrich Kamlah (attorney-at-law)

Dr. Christian Lederer (attorney-at-law)

Dr. Michael Schächinger (attorney-at-law)

Dr. Joel Naegerl (patent attorney)

Dr. Lorenz Walder-Hartmann (patent attorney)

Pursuant to the summons of 30 October 2025, the following topics were discussed:

- R. 104.d

[REDACTED] Parallel litigation is pending in UPC LD Munich (OH 15 January 2026), in German national court (OH 25 February 2026). Also, litigation is pending in the US and Brazil on the merits, which will take years to resolve. In BR, a PI is in place. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

- R. 104.i-k

Parties discussed the value of the dispute, also – as requested by the JR – In respect of the enforcement security requested by Disney to the amount of 500 million Euros. Disney indicated 12 million may be more appropriate. The JR decided to follow the defendants and set the value at 12 million for both the claim and the counterclaim. This also means more fees should be paid to the Court.

Regarding the costs, it was agreed that each party will submit a cost estimate two weeks before the hearing, and one week before each party may comment on the costs submitted by the other side (max. 5 pages). Or parties settle on an amount.

- the requested postponement of the hearing

Defendants indicated that the intervening hearing of 28 Jan is no longer applicable so the OH may proceed on 29 January 2026 as scheduled.

- enforcement security and late filed submission as to the amount requested by Defendants, namely in their submission of 6 October 2025 and exhibit TW7 (by claimant)

As to the confidentiality, Disney agreed to the confidentiality club proposed by Adeia. The JR granted the request. No penalty enforcement is needed according to Disney.

Adeia indicated that Disney regardless did not substantiate why Adeia would not be good for the money in case an injunction would be overturned. Disney contested. This decision is for the panel.

- late filing of the prior art references D8 and D9 (by claimant)

Disney indicated that the two weeks late filing after the rejoinder was a clerical mistake and that Adeia applied for an extension of two weeks on this ground, which was granted. The two-week late argument was therefore already resolved. Disney further argued that D8/D9 were submitted as a response to the amendment to the patent filed by Adeia and to that Adeia changed its position on interpretation of the granted claim. Disney will explain in 3 pages within two weeks what change in position it refers to. So, the decision to accept D8/D9 for the granted claims was deferred to the OH. For the amended claims, D8/D9 were accepted by the JR.

ORDER

The Court:

- Sets the value of the main claim and the counterclaim at € 12,000,000 and orders Adeia to pay the fee difference for the main claim within 10 days from service (R. 371.4)
- Grants the request for confidentiality as submitted by Disney;
- Refers for the rest to the grounds above.

Edger
Frank
BRINKMAN

Digitally signed
by Edger Frank
BRINKMAN
Date: 2025.11.03
15:06:58 +01'00'