

Decision  
of the Court of First Instance of the Unified Patent Court  
issued on 16 February 2026  
concerning EP 2 258 692 B1

CLAIMANT:

**Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute (ETRI)**, represented by its president Mr Bang Seung Chan, 218 Gajeong-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 34129, Korea

Litigation Counsel:

Attorney-at-law Dr Tilmann Müller, Attorney-at-law Dr Volkmar Henke, Attorneys-at-law and UPC Representatives of Bardehle Pagenberg Partnership mbB, Patent attorneys, attorneys-at-law, Bohnenstraße 4, 20457 Hamburg, Germany

Patent attorneys:

Patent attorney Georg Anetsberger, Patent attorneys and UPC Representatives of Bardehle Pagenberg Partnership mbB Patent attorneys, attorneys at law, Prinzregentenplatz 7, 81675 Munich, Germany

Electronic address for service:

mueller@bardehle.de

DEFENDANTS:

1. **Hisense Gorenje Germany GmbH**, represented by its directors, Parkring 31-33, 85748 Garching, Germany
2. **ATAG Nederland B.V.**, represented by its directors, Impact 83, 6921 RZ Duiven, The Netherlands
3. **Gorenje gospodinjski aparati, d.o.o.**, represented by its directors, Partizanska cesta 12, 3320 Velenje, Slovenia
4. **Hisense Visual Technology Co, Ltd**, represented by its directors, No. 218, Qianwangang road, Economy Development Zone, 266555 Qingdao, People's Republic of China
5. **Hisense France SAS**, represented by its directors, 12-16 Rue Sarah Bernhardt, 92600 Asnières-Sur-Seine, France
6. **Hisense Italia S.r.l.**, represented by its directors, Via Montefeltro, 6A, 20156 Milan, Italy

Litigation Counsel:

Attorney-at-law Dr Stephan Dorn, Attorney-at-law Sebastian Vautz, CMS Hasche Sigle Partnerschaft von

Rechtsanwälten und Steuerberatern mbB, Kasernenstr. 43-45, 40213 Düsseldorf

Electronic address for service: sebastian.vautz@cms-hs.com

PATENT IN SUIT:

EUROPEAN PATENT No. EP 3 258 692 B1

PANEL/DIVISION:

Panel of the Düsseldorf Local Division

DECIDING JUDGES:

This Decision was made by Presiding Judge Thomas, legally qualified Judge Dr Thom acting as judge-rapporteur and legally qualified Judge Johansson.

LANGUAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS: English

SUBJECT: R. 265 RoP – Withdrawl

FACTS AND GROUNDS:

Due to a settlement Claimant submitted an application to withdraw the infringement action against all Defendants, to confirm that Claimant must pay the court fees and that each party bears its own costs (no requests for cost compensation), and to order, that 60 % of the Court fees be reimbursed to Claimant.

Defendants have given their consent to the motion to withdraw the action as well as to the Claimant's motion regarding the costs.

The decision follows the parties' jointly expressed will. No cost decision is required in this case due to the parties' declarations. The order to reimburse the Claimant 60 % of the court fees is based on R.370.11 and R.370.9(b)(i) RoP, the action has been settled before the closure of the written procedure.

ORDER:

The Court

- I. permits the withdrawal of the action and declares the proceedings closed;
- II. orders that this decision be entered in the Register;
- III. declares that there is no need for a cost decision since all parties, who incurred costs, agree to bear their own costs;
- IV. sets the value in dispute for the action at € 2,500,000;
- V. orders that 60% of the Court fees (= € 14,400) be reimbursed to Claimant.

Issued in Düsseldorf on 16 February 2026

NAME UND SIGNATURE

Presiding judge Thomas	<p><b>Ronny</b> <b>Thomas</b></p> <p>Digital unterschrieben von Ronny Thomas Datum: 2026.02.11 15:36:31 +01'00'</p>
Legally qualified judge Dr Thom	<p><b>Anna</b> <b>Bérénice</b> <b>Dr. THOM</b></p> <p>Digital unterschrieben von Anna Bérénice Dr. THOM Datum: 2026.02.11 15:43:34 +01'00'</p>
Legally qualified judge Johansson	
For the sub-registrar	