



**UPC\_CFI\_2282/2025**  
**Decision**  
**of the Court of First Instance of the Unified Patent Court**  
**issued on 13 May 2026**

CLAIMANT

**Automobile Dacia S.A.**, Strada Uzinei 1, 115400 Mioveni, Romania

Represented by: Tim Hülshager and Dr. Thomas Eelbo, Prinz & Partner

DEFENDANT

**Avago Technologies International Sales Pte. Limited**, 1 Yishun Avenue 7, Singapore 768923, Singapore

Represented by: Hannes Jacobsen and Paul Szynka, CBH Rechtsanwälte

PATENT AT ISSUE

*Patent no.*

*Proprietor*

---

**EP1770912**

Avago Technologies International Sales Pte. Limited

---

DECIDING JUDGES

This order is issued by the presiding judge and judge-rapporteur Marjolein Visser, the legally qualified judge Maximilian Haedicke and the technically qualified judge Steven Richard Kitchen.

COMPOSITION OF PANEL – FULL PANEL

Presiding judge and judge-rapporteur

Marjolein Visser

Legally qualified judge

Maximilian Haedicke

Technically qualified judge

Steven Richard Kitchen

LANGUAGE OF PROCEEDINGS: English

#### SUBJECT-MATTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Revocation action

Application to amend the patent

Application to withdraw the action (R. 265 RoP)

#### SUMMARY OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICATION

1. By document dated 30 December 2025, the Claimant lodged a revocation action against the Defendant. On 27 April 2026, Defendant filed a Defence to revocation together with an Application to amend the patent.
2. On 12 May 2026, the Claimant filed an Application to withdraw the action pursuant to R. 265 RoP. It further stated that the parties have agreed that each party shall bear its own costs and that a decision on costs pursuant to R. 265.2(c) RoP is not required.
3. On 12 May 2026, the Defendant consented to the withdrawal of the action and confirmed that the parties have agreed that each party shall bear its own costs and that a decision on costs is not required.

#### GROUNDS FOR THE DECISION

4. Pursuant to R. 265.1 RoP, as long as no final decision has been taken, a Claimant may apply to withdraw his action. The Court shall decide on the application after hearing the other party. The application to withdraw shall not be permitted if the other party has a legitimate interest in the action being decided by the Court.
5. In the present case, the application is admissible since no final decision has been taken. Given the consent of the Defendant, the withdrawal of the action shall be permitted.
6. The Court will declare the proceedings closed and this decision will be entered on the register in accordance with R. 265.2(a) and (b) RoP. As this has not been requested, a cost decision will not be issued.

#### DECISION

The panel,

permits the withdrawal of the revocation action UPC\_CFI\_2282/2025 and declares the proceedings closed;

orders that this decision be entered on the Register.

<p>Marjolein Visser, presiding judge and judge-rapporteur</p>	<p>Marjolein Jeanette Johanna Visser</p> <p>Signature numérique de Marjolein Jeanette Johanna Visser Date : 2026.05.13 16:05:10 +02'00'</p>
<p>Maximilian Haedicke, legally qualified judge</p>	<p>Maximilian Wilhelm Haedicke</p> <p>Digital signiert von Maximilian Wilhelm Haedicke DN: cn=Maximilian Wilhelm Haedicke, c=DE Datum: 2026.05.13 15:29:18 +02'00'</p>
<p>Steven Richard Kitchen, technically qualified judge</p>	<p>STEVEN RICHARD KITCHEN</p> <p>Digitally signed by STEVEN RICHARD KITCHEN Date: 2026.05.13 12:17:52 +02'00'</p>
<p>For the Deputy-Registrar</p>	<p>Carol BEURTHERET</p> <p>Signature numérique de Carol BEURTHERET Date : 2026.05.13 16:13:15 +02'00'</p>