



UPC_CFI_1039/2025
Decision
of the Court of First Instance of the Unified Patent Court
issued on 18 May 2026

CLAIMANT

Automobile Dacia S.A., Strada Uzinei 1, 115400 Mioveni, Romania

Represented by: Tim Hülshager, Prinz & Partner

DEFENDANT

Avago Technologies International Sales Pte. Limited, 1 Yishun Avenue 7, Singapore 768923, Singapore

Represented by: Hannes Jacobsen, CBH Rechtsanwälte

PATENT AT ISSUE

Patent no.

Proprietor

EP1903733

Avago Technologies International Sales Pte. Limited

DECIDING JUDGES

This order is issued by the presiding judge and judge-rapporteur Marjolein Visser, the legally qualified judge Maximilian Haedicke and the technically qualified judge Andrea Scilletta.

COMPOSITION OF PANEL – FULL PANEL

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Presiding judge and judge-rapporteur | Marjolein Visser |
| Legally qualified judge | Maximilian Haedicke |
| Technically qualified judge | Andrea Scilletta |

LANGUAGE OF PROCEEDINGS: English

SUBJECT-MATTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Revocation action

Application to amend the patent

Application to withdraw the action (R. 265 RoP)

SUMMARY OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICATION

1. By document dated 6 October 2025, the Claimant lodged a revocation action against the Defendant. On 10 February 2026, Defendant filed a Defence to revocation together with an Application to amend the patent. On 13 April 2026, the Claimant filed a Reply to the defence to revocation and defence to the application to amend the patent.
2. On 12 May 2026, the Claimant filed an Application to withdraw the action pursuant to R. 265 RoP. It further stated that the parties have agreed that each party shall bear its own costs and that a decision on costs pursuant to R. 265.2(c) RoP is not required.
3. On 12 May 2026, the Defendant consented to the withdrawal of the action and confirmed that the parties have agreed that each party shall bear its own costs and that a decision on costs is not required.

GROUND FOR THE DECISION





4. Pursuant to R. 265.1 RoP, as long as no final decision has been taken, a Claimant may apply to withdraw his action. The Court shall decide on the application after hearing the other party. The application to withdraw shall not be permitted if the other party has a legitimate interest in the action being decided by the Court.
5. In the present case, the application is admissible since no final decision has been taken. Given the consent of the Defendant, the withdrawal of the action shall be permitted.
6. The Court will declare the proceedings closed and this decision will be entered on the register in accordance with R. 265.2(a) and (b) RoP. As this has not been requested, a cost decision will not be issued.

DECISION

The panel,

permits the withdrawal of the revocation action UPC_CFI_1039/2025 and declares the proceedings closed;

orders that this decision be entered on the Register.

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Marjolein Visser, presiding judge and judge-rapporteur</p> | <p>  Marjolein Jeanette Johanna Visser Signature numérique de Marjolein Jeanette Johanna Visser Date : 2026.05.19 10:11:35 +02'00' </p> |
| <p>Maximilian Haedicke, legally qualified judge</p> | <p>  Maximilian Wilhelm Haedicke Digital signiert von Maximilian Wilhelm Haedicke DN: cn=Maximilian Wilhelm Haedicke, c=DE Datum: 2026.05.19 10:04:02 +02'00' </p> |
| <p>Andrea Scilletta, technically qualified judge</p> | <p>  Firmato digitalmente da: Scilletta Andrea Firmato il 18/05/2026 10:59 Seriale Certificato: 5696650 Valido dal 12/02/2026 al 12/02/2029 InfoCamere Qualified Electronic Signature CA </p> |
| <p>For the Deputy-Registrar</p> | <p>  Carol BEURTHERET Signature numérique de Carol BEURTHERET Date : 2026.05.19 11:26:58 +02'00' </p> |