



Hamburg – local division

**UPC\_CFI\_643/2026**  
**procedural order**  
**of the Court of First Instance of**  
**the Unified Patent Court issued on**  
**21 May 2026**

Parties:

**Avago Technologies International Sales Pte. Limited**, with its registered office in Singapore and represented by its managing directors, 1 Yishun Avenue 7, Singapore 768923, Singapore,

Claimant,

represented by:

Dr Bernd Allekotte, Grünecker PartG mbB,  
Leopoldstraße 4, 80802 Munich

1. **Renault Deutschland AG**, represented by its Executive Board, Peter-Huppertz-Straße 5, 51063 Cologne, Germany,

Defendant 1),

2. **Renault Retail Group Deutschland GmbH**, represented by its managing directors, Peter-Huppertz-Straße 5, 51063 Cologne, Germany,

Defendant 2),

3. **Renault S.A.S.**, represented by its managing directors, 122-122 bis Avenue du General Leclerc, 92100 Boulogne-Billancourt, France,

Defendant 3),

represented by:

Felix Rödiger, Jonas Smeets and Fabian Saupe,  
Bird & Bird LLP, Carl-Theodor-Straße 6, 40213  
Düsseldorf, Germany

Patent in suit: EP 2 184 891 B1

Language of the proceedings: German

Panel/Chamber:

Panel of the Hamburg local division

Judges involved:

Presiding Judge Sabine Klepsch

Legally qualified judge Dr Stefan Schilling Legally

qualified judge Dr Walter Schober

Subject matter: Action for infringement – withdrawal of R 265 RoP

Brief summary of the facts:

By document dated 18 February 2026, the claimant brought a patent infringement action against the defendants. Even before the conclusion of the written procedure, the claimant declared the withdrawal of the action by document dated 12 May 2026. She submits that the agreement between the parties provides that each party shall bear its own costs.

The claimant requests, pursuant to Rule 265.1 of the RoP,

1. that the withdrawal of the action be permitted and that the proceedings be declared terminated in accordance with Rule 265.1(1) of the RoP;
2. insofar as necessary pursuant to Rule 265.2(c) of the RoP, an order that the parties shall each bear their own costs.

The defendants consented to the withdrawal of the action by document dated 12 May 2026 and stated that a decision on costs is not necessary. The parties had agreed that each party would bear its own costs. By document dated 18 May 2026, the defendants stated that they had no objections to a refund.

Reasons for the order:

The decision follows the parties' jointly expressed intention.

Insofar as Rule 265.2(c) of the RoP requires a decision on costs in accordance with Part 1, Chapter 5 of the RoP, the decision takes account of the agreement reached between the parties.

The order for the proportionate reimbursement of court costs is based on Rule 370.11 of the RoP in conjunction with Rule 370.9(b)(i) of the RoP.

Order:

1. The withdrawal of the action is permitted on the claimant's application and with the defendant's consent.
2. The proceedings referred to in paragraph 1 are hereby declared to be terminated.
3. This decision is to be entered in the register.
4. No order as to costs is required.
5. The value in dispute for the claim is set at EUR 1,000,000.00.
6. The Registrar is instructed to reimburse the Claimant as soon as possible for 50% of the court fees paid by her in relation to the claim.

Note to the Registry:

The proceedings may be closed.

|                                                           |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Sabine Klepsch<br>Presiding Judge                         |  |
| Dr Stefan Schilling<br>Legally qualified judge-rapporteur |  |
| Dr Walter Schober<br>legally qualified judge              |  |
| For the Deputy-Registrar                                  |  |