



UPC_CFI_962/2026
Procedural Order
of the Court of First Instance of the Unified Patent Court
delivered on 28/05/2026

APPLICANT

DREAME INTERNATIONAL (HONGKONG) LIMITED Represented by Christian Stoll
Rooms 1318-19, 13/F, Hollywood Plaza, 610 Nathan Road,
Mongkok, 999077 Kowloon, Hong Kong, PR China

DEFENDANTS

Dyson Technology Limited Represented by Dr. Constanze
Tetbury Hill - SN16 ORP - Malmesbury, Wiltshire - GB Krenz

PATENT AT ISSUE

<i>Patent no.</i>	<i>Proprietor/s</i>
EP3119235	Dyson Technology Limited

SUBJECT-MATTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Application for provisional measures: provisional declaration of non-infringement and injunction in connection with the allegation of patent infringement

PANEL

Panel of the Local Division in Hamburg

DECIDING JUDGES

This order has been issued by the presiding judge Sabine Klepsch, the legally qualified judge and judge-rapporteur Dr. Stefan Schilling and the legally qualified judge Stefan Johansson.

ORAL HEARING

21.05.2026, 10:00 h

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

1. With its application dated 18 March 2026, the Applicant requested provisional measures, including a provisional declaration of non-infringement and an injunction, in connection with the Defendant's allegations of patent infringement relating to new haircare appliances offered or sold by the applicant.
2. The oral hearing was conducted on 21 May 2026. In the oral hearing the parties negotiated a settlement of the case and related issues. After the parties had informed the Court that a settlement was drafted and ready to be signed, the oral hearing was postponed.
3. On 23 May 2026 the Applicant formally informed the Court that the parties have signed a settlement agreement with respect to all claims asserted by the Applicant with the request for provisional measures. As part of the settlement, only the Applicant's request I. (determination for non-infringement) shall be withdrawn, and no cost-decision shall be made between the parties. Requests II. and III. shall not be withdrawn but are part of the settlement.
4. The Applicant further request that the non-redacted version of the settlement agreement dated 21 May 2026 between the parties shall be treated confidential in accordance with R. 365.2 RoP and shall not be published or otherwise made available to the public.
5. The Defendant submitted its formal consent on 26 May 2026.

REQUESTS BY THE PARTIES

6. The Applicant requests,
 - I. The Court shall confirm the settlement between the parties and as detailed in Exhibit HL 20 by decision of the Court in accordance with Art. 79 UPCA, R. 365.1 RoP and the decision may be enforced as final decision of the Court.
 - II. The Plaintiff requests in accordance with R. 365.2 RoP that the details of the settlement are confidential.
 - III. The Plaintiff requests that in accordance with the settlement agreement no cost decision shall be made.
 - IV. The Court shall order in accordance with R. 262.2, 365,2 RoP
 - a) that the details of the settlement agreement dated 21 May 2026 between the parties shall be treated confidential; and
 - b) the non-redacted copy of the settlement agreement dated 21 May 2026 between the parties shall not be published or otherwise made available to the public.
 - V. The Applicant requests that in accordance with the settlement agreement no cost decision shall be made.
 - VI. The Applicant withdraws its request I. for provisional measures dated 18 March 2026 and the Court shall allow the withdrawal.
 - VII. The Applicant requests that the Court closes the proceeding.
 - VIII. The Applicant requests that in accordance with the settlement agreement no cost decision shall be made.

7. The Defendant

referred to Applicant's application according to R. 365 RoP of May 23, 2026, to request confirmation of the settlement as detailed in Exhibit HL 20 and the application according to R. 265 RoP of May 23, 2026, to withdraw the application for provisional measures and expressed its consent to both aforementioned applications.

GROUNDS FOR THE ORDER

8. If the parties have settled their action, the court may confirm the settlement in accordance with Art. 79 UPCA in conjunction with R. 365.1 RoP at the request of the parties. A settlement is a procedural act that has the effect of terminating legal proceedings before the UPC, either completely or in part. Pursuant to R. 365.1 RoP a decision of the Court confirming a settlement may be enforced as a final decision of the Court.
9. The details of the settlement may be treated as confidential at the request of the parties in accordance with R. 365.2 RoP. This confidentiality is ensured by the registry in accordance with the aforementioned provision as pursuant to R. 365.3 RoP, the decision confirming the settlement shall be entered in the register subject to the aforementioned decision according to R. 365.2 RoP. A (further) order by the court is only to be made in accordance with R. 262.6 RoP in case a member of the public requests access to the case file in accordance with R. 262.3 RoP.
10. As the parties unanimously confirmed that based on their agreement no cost-decision shall be made between the parties, the judge-rapporteur refers the decision on to the panel pursuant to R. 102.1 RoP. Thus, the position on cost reimbursement can be reflected in the decision pursuant to R. 365.4 RoP.
11. The request for withdrawal of the remaining request I. can be granted, which then terminates the action in its entirety. The decision follows the unanimous requests of the parties. Insofar as Rule 265.2 (c) RoP requires a decision on costs in accordance with Part 1, Chapter 5 RoP, the parties also in this respect did not request any cost decision due to the agreement reached between them.

ORDER

1. It is hereby confirmed that the parties have concluded the settlement agreement as detailed in Exhibit HL 20 and attached to this decision.
2. The details of the settlement agreement concluded between the parties shall be treated as confidential, R. 365.2 RoP.
3. Subject to item 2., this decision shall be entered in the register, R. 365.3 RoP.
4. The decision may be enforced as final decision of the Court.
5. The withdrawal of the remaining application for provisional measures is permitted, R. 265.2 RoP.
6. The proceedings for provisional measures are declared closed.
7. It is confirmed that no cost decision is required with respect to the settlement and the withdrawal.
8. This decision shall be entered in the register, R. 265.2 b RoP.

INFORMATION ON THE APPEAL

Both parties may appeal against this order within 15 days of its notification, Art. 73 (2) lit. a), Art. 79 UPCA, R. 220.1(c), 224.2(b) RoP.

INFORMATION ON THE ENFORCEMENT

Pursuant to R. 365.1 RoP a decision of the Court confirming a settlement may be enforced as a final decision of the Court.

INSTRUCTIONS TO THE SUB-REGISTRY

The case can be closed in the CMS in its entirety after the reimbursement of the court fees.

SIGNATURES


Presiding judge Sabine Klepsch

Judge rapporteur Dr. Stefan Schilling

Legally qualified judge Stefan Johansson


For the sub-registry

Settlement with Dyson regarding proceeding of determination of non-infringement




A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'M. A.', located at the bottom left of the page.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Ch. Steel', located at the bottom center of the page.



[Handwritten signature]

Ch. Stol



W. C. Steel

