



UPC_CFI_387/2025
Preliminary Order
of the Court of First Instance of the Unified Patent Court
delivered on 04/06/2026

CLAIMANT

Dyson Technology Limited
(Applicant) - Tetbury Hill - SN16 ORP - Malmesbury,
Wiltshire - GB

Represented by Dr. Constanze
Krenz

DEFENDANTS

1) **DREAME INTERNATIONAL (HONGKONG)
LIMITED**
(Defendant) - Room H28G, Blk EH, 10th
Floor, Golden Bear Ind. Ctr., 66-82 Chai
Wan Kok St., Tsu-en Wan - n.a. - Hong Kong
- HK

Represented by Dr. Anna Friese-
Okoro

PATENT AT ISSUE

Patent no.

Proprietor/s

EP3119235

Dyson Technology Limited

SHORT SUMMARY OF FACTS

1. With submission dated 30 March 2026 the Applicant filed a request to impose a penalty payment against Defendant 1) due to alleged infringement of no. III of the decision of the Court of Appeal, dated 6 March 2026 (UPC_CoA_789/2025 and UPC_CoA_813/2025), and of no. I.a. of the decision of the Local Division Hamburg, dated 14 August 2025 (UPC_CFI_387/2025).

2. On the occasion of the oral hearing in case UPC_CFI_962/2026 the parties entered into a settlement (cf. Exhibit HL 20 of case UPC-CFI 962/2026). As part of the settlement the withdrawal of the present application to impose a penalty was agreed. The Applicant further informed the Court that the parties will not ask for cost reimbursement for the application to impose penalties (for Dreame cf. section 5 of Exhibit HL 20 of case UPC-CFI 962/2026).
3. Defendant 1 confirmed the settlement and consented to the withdrawal.

GROUNDS FOR THE ORDER

4. The decision follows the unanimous requests of the parties. Insofar as Rule 265.2 (c) RoP requires a decision on costs in accordance with Part 1, Chapter 5 RoP, the parties expressly did not request any cost decision due to the agreement reached between them.
5. The value of the enforcement proceedings is set to EUR 100.000, which is 1/10 of the value of the proceedings for provisional measures.

ORDER

1. The withdrawal of the request to impose a penalty is permitted.
2. This decision shall be recorded in the register.
3. It is confirmed that no cost decision is required.
4. The value of the enforcement proceedings is set to EUR 100.000.

INFORMATION ON THE APPEAL

An appeal against the decision may be brought before the Court of Appeal by any party which has not obtained all or any of the forms of order sought within two months of the date of notification of the decision (Art. 73(1) UPCA, R. 220.1(b), R. 224 Rules of Procedure).

SIGNATURES

Presiding judge Klepsch

Judge-rapporteur Dr. Schilling

Legally qualified judge Johansson

For the sub-registry