

**ORDER**  
**of the Court of Appeal of the Unified Patent Court**  
**issued on 28 July 2026**  
**regarding request for stay of proceedings (R. 295 RoP)**

APPELLANTS (DEFENDANTS IN THE INFRINGEMENT ACTION AND CLAIMANTS IN THE COUNTERCLAIM FOR REVOCATION BEFORE THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE)

1. **Beko Germany GmbH**, Eschborn, Germany
2. **Arçelik A.Ş, Elektronik Plant**, Istanbul, Turkey

(hereinafter jointly referred to as "Beko")

represented by attorney at law Tilman Pfrang, Meissner Bolte, Munich, Germany

RESPONDENT (CLAIMANT IN THE INFRINGEMENT ACTION AND DEFENDANT IN THE COUNTERCLAIM FOR REVOCATION BEFORE THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE)

**Dolby International AB**, Dublin, Ireland

(hereinafter referred to as "Dolby")

represented by attorney at law Dr. Volkmar Henke, Bardehle Pagenberg, Hamburg, Germany

PATENT AT ISSUE

EP 3 605 534

DECIDING JUDGE

Ingeborg Simonsson, legally qualified judge and judge-rapporteur

IMPUGNED DECISION OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

Date: 18 March 2026, Local Division Düsseldorf

Action numbers attributed by the Court of First Instance:

- UPC\_CFI\_135/2024 (Infringement action) and
- UPC\_CFI\_477/2024 (Counterclaim for revocation action)

LANGUAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

English

#### SUMMARY OF FACTS

1. Dolby has informed the Court that the parties have reached a settlement agreement and announced that the parties will withdraw their respective claims and/or applications as soon as all corresponding obligations under the settlement have been met.
2. On 23 July 2026, Dolby requested pursuant to R. 295 (d) RoP that the infringement and counterclaim for revocation proceedings UPC-CoA-77/2026 and UPC-CoA 78/2026 are stayed with immediate effect.
3. The Court invited Beko to comment on the requests for stay of the appeal proceedings. On 24 July 2026, Beko agreed to a stay of the proceedings.

#### REASONS

4. Pursuant to R. 295(d) RoP, the Court may stay proceedings at the joint request of the parties.
5. Dolby has provided valid grounds for a stay of the proceedings, and Beko has agreed to the requested stay. Dolby's application to stay the appeal proceedings shall be granted.

#### ORDER

- I. A stay of the proceedings UPC-CoA-77/2026 and UPC-CoA 78/2026 before the UPC is ordered.
- II. The parties shall inform the Court of Appeal once the respective obligations arising under the settlement agreement have been fulfilled.
- III. Each party is entitled to request the Court to resume the proceedings, stating reasons for such a request.

Issued on 28 July 2026

Ingeborg Simonsson, legally qualified judge and judge-rapporteur

Case management decisions or orders made by the judge-rapporteur shall be reviewed by the panel, on a reasoned Application by a party (R. 333.1 RoP)